

TITLE: Eddy-Zonal Flow Feedback in the Southern Hemisphere

AUTHOR: David J. Lorenz
Dennis L. Hartmann

YEAR: 2001

REVIEWED: February 3, 2011

Reasons for Review:

- The leading EOF of the zonal-mean zonal wind represents north-south movement of the midlatitude jet.
- Positive feedback between zonal-mean wind anomalies and eddy-momentum fluxes.
- EOF2 describes strengthening/weakening of the midlatitude jet, but has no feedback associated with it

Abbreviations/Symbols:

- ML Mid-Latitude
- N/S North/South
- ST Subtropical
- T Temperature

Data Details:

- NCEP Reanalysis
- 10-day cutoff Lanczos filter
- EOF analysis

Notes:

- Structure of the dominant mode of interannual variability of the zonal-mean wind is an equivalent barotropic dipole with maximum anomalies at 40°S and 60°S
 - N/S fluctuations in the jet around 50°S
 - Also true for daily, 10-day low-pass, and monthly mean

- Observed in every season
- Zonal Index
 - Describes where the jet is located with respect to its mean position
 - “high index state” when jet is poleward of mean position
 - Same phenomenon as the leading mode of geopotential height on a constant pressure surface
 - Karoly (1990)
 - Found in quasigeostrophic and primitive equation models
 - Mean-wind-eddy feedback increases the persistence and low-frequency variance of zonal index
 - Eddy momentum fluxes cause a poleward propagation in the zonal-mean wind anomalies.
 - Feldstein (1998)
- Annual Mean Wind
 - Different dynamical processes govern the ST and ML jets
 - ST Jet (30°S)
 - Stronger than ML jet in July
 - Almost nonexistent in January
 - Observed at 200 mb
 - Not visible at 850 mb
 - Driven by differential heating between the tropics and ST
 - Pressure gradient forces air poleward at upper levels and equatorward at lower levels
 - The Result → westerlies at upper levels and easterlies at lower levels
 - Due to Coriolis
 - Jet is strong in winter (sharper T gradient) and weak in summer
 - ML Jet (50°S)
 - Persistent in strength and location through the year
 - Extends throughout troposphere
 - Eddies transport westerly momentum from ST upper levels to ML lower levels
 - Eddy activity is caused by baroclinic instability
 - Instability associated with T gradient
 - In Southern Hemisphere, relatively constant SSTs maintain T gradient
 - Seasonal cycle is small
 - Most of variability is due to internal atmospheric processes
- Zonal Wind-Eddy Feedback
 - Leading EOF

- Explains 36% of variance
- Barotropic dipole
- Represents N/S fluctuations in ML jet
- Eddies converge vertically averaged eddy momentum flux to influence zonal-mean wind:

$$\frac{\partial \langle [u] \rangle}{\partial t} = - \frac{1}{\cos^2 \varphi} \frac{\partial (\langle [u'v'] \rangle \cos^2 \varphi)}{a \partial \varphi} - F \quad (1)$$

- How are the zonal index $z(t)$ and the eddy forcing of the zonal index $m(t)$ related?

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = m - \frac{z}{\tau} \quad (2)$$

- Phase relationship between eddy forcing and zonal wind constrained by momentum conservation.
- High coherence squared \rightarrow good approx.
- $z(t)$ and $m(t)$ have a phase difference of 90° that approaches 0 at low frequencies
- Zonal-mean momentum fluxes at high or low zonal index state will show reinforcing momentum fluxes.
- Eddy forcing always leads zonal wind by 0° to 90°
- $z(t)$ is a much lower frequency than $m(t)$
- Strongest correlations between $z(t)$ and $m(t)$ occur at short lags when $m(t)$ leads $z(t)$ (eddy forcing leads zonal wind).
- Positive feedback is deduced by nonzero correlations at large (negative) lags
- Feedback from observations
 - *Do the correlations at large positive lags in Fig. 5 result from intrinsic eddy variability or do they result from a positive zonal wind-eddy feedback?*
 - Synoptic-eddies consist of high frequency variations in u' and v' , yet their forcing is strongest at the lowest frequencies
 - Indicates a forcing by zonal-mean wind back on total eddy forcing
- Simple Model of Feedback
 - Define an equation that is independent of the feedback:

$$m = \tilde{m} + bz \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{z}}{dt} = \tilde{m} - \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tau} \quad (4)$$

- \tilde{z} represents zonal index without feedback
 - \tilde{m} represents random eddy forcing independent of zonal wind anomalies
 - b measures the strength of the feedback
 - If $b=0$, then zonal-mean wind does not communicate back to the eddy forcing
 - $b = 0.0354 \text{ day}^{-1}$
- Feedback increased variance of z and m at lowest frequencies
- The feedback has a small effect on eddy forcing variability
 - Most of variability in m is at higher frequencies
- Seasonality of the feedback
 - Stronger feedback in winter than in summer
 - Not sure if this is significant
- Simultaneous regressions are not ideal for diagnosing the effect of zonal-mean wind anomalies on eddies
 - Only 31% of simultaneous eddy momentum forcing anomalies are caused by changes in the zonal-mean flow
 - Most of m is caused by \tilde{m}
 - Must look at positive lags greater than a week to diagnose the effect of zonal-mean wind on eddy momentum flux
- Dynamics of the Feedback
 - Isolate part of eddy forcing that is responding to zonal wind anomalies from the burst of eddy forcing that initially created the zonal wind anomalies
 - This is the positive feedback
 - Baroclinic wave generation at the surface follows the N/S movement in the ML jet at upper levels
 - Wave generation follows $\max \nabla T$
 - **Wave Growth Rate = Meridional T Gradient** divided by **Buoyancy Frequency**
 - Waves propagate upwards and equatorwards
 - Equivalent to poleward momentum fluxes
 - Due to spherical geometry
 - Mechanism for feedback
 - The source of baroclinic wave activity follows the N/S movement of baroclinicity that, by thermal wind, generally corresponds to the latitude of strongest upper-level jet.
 - As wave propagate away, momentum fluxes reinforce jet, which allows for more wave growth

- Much of the variability of eddy activity is independent of zonal-mean flow and starts the entire process
- Direct Effect
 - Anomalies eddy fluxes
- Indirect Effect
 - Eddies on meridional circulation via anomalous friction and diabatic heating
 - Creates low-level baroclinicity
- Residual eddy momentum fluxes act to decay zonal wind anomalies
- Residual eddies are mostly external Rossby waves
 - Net propagation of Rossby waves into ML jet and momentum fluxes out of the jet
- High index
 - Eddies transport less momentum out of the subtropics
 - Weaker meridional circulation
 - Less subsidence in subtropics
 - Cooler mid-level T in subtropics
- EOF2
 - Strengthening and sharpening of ML jet
 - No wind-eddy feedback
 - Due to the horizontal shear of EOF2 coinciding with the horizontal shear of the time-mean ML jet
 - Not true for EOF1
 - Changes in barotropic shear oppose changes in baroclinicity
 - Horizontal shear weakens baroclinic positive feedback
 - N/S movement is more important than strengthening and sharpening
 - Poleward propagation of wind anomalies
 - 20 days after wind maximum, anomalies drift poleward by 10°
- Feedback consists of 2 parts:
 - Positive feedback that projects onto EOF1
 - Unique to EOF1
 - A propagating feedback that is in quadrature with EOF1 and implies poleward propagation of the wind anomalies
 - Witnessed in both EOF1 and EOF2